



PATENT APPLICATION

IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

In re application of

Docket No: Q86666

Takenobu SUNAGAWA, et al.

Appln. No.: 10/530,515

Group Art Unit: 1713

Confirmation No.: 5345

Examiner: Michael Bernshteyn

Filed: April 7, 2005

For:

THERMOPLASTIC POLYESTER RESIN COMPOSTION AND MOLDED ARTICLE COMPRISING

THE SAME

STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

Sir:

Please review and enter the following remarks summarizing the interview conducted on August 10, 2006:

REMARKS

An Examiner's Interview Summary Record (PTO-413) was provided to Applicants' undersigned representative dated August 10, 2006.

During the interview, the following was discussed:

- 1. Brief description of exhibits or demonstration: None.
- 2. Identification of claims discussed: Claim 1.
- **3. Identification of art discussed:** Watanabe and Lane of record.
- 4. Identification of principal proposed amendments: None.
- 5. Brief Identification of principal arguments:

Statement of Substance of Interview U.S. App. Ser. No. 10/530,515

Q86666

Applicants' argued that Watanabe as a whole did not fairly teach or suggest the

combination of the viscosity modifier and core-shell graft polymer for the reasons presented in

the Amendment filed on July 19, 2006 and Lane does not remedy the deficiencies of Watanabe.

Applicants also argued that the specification provides sufficient evidence of the unexpectedly

superior effects of the present invention due to the combination of the viscosity modifier (B)

and core-shell graft polymer (C) recited in the claims.

6. Indication of other pertinent matters discussed: None.

7. Results of Interview: The Examiner agreed to further evaluate the data provided

in the specification for unexpected results in terms of combining a viscosity modifier and core-

shell graft polymer in the comparative examples.

It is respectfully submitted that the instant STATEMENT OF SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW

complies with the requirements of 37 C.F.R. §§1.2 and 1.133 and MPEP §713.04.

It is believed that no petition or fee is required. However, if the USPTO deems

otherwise, Applicant hereby petitions for any extension of time which may be required to

maintain the pendency of this case, and any required fee, except for the Issue Fee, for such

extension is to be charged to Deposit Account No. 19-4880.

Respectfully submitted,

Registration No. 40,641

SUGHRUE MION, PLLC

Telephone: (202) 293-7060

Facsimile: (202) 293-7860

WASHINGTON OFFICE

23373

CUSTOMER NUMBER

Date: August 14, 2006

2

	OIPE 40	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
Interview Summar	AUG 14 2006	10/530,515	SUNAGAWA ET AL.	
		Examiner	Art Unit	
	TOLDON	Michael Bernshteyn	1713	

\ _{\\pi} Au\		Examiner	Art Unit	
TATE OF THE PERSON OF THE PERS	FRADOMETE	Michael Bernshteyn	1713	
All participants (applicant, applicant's represen	tative, PTO	personnel):		
(1) <u>Michael Bernshteyn</u> .		(3) <u>Ms. Jennifer M. Hayes</u> .		
(2) <u>David Wu</u> .		(4)		
Date of Interview: 10 August 2006.				
Type: a)☐ Telephonic b)☐ Video Conf c)☑ Personal [copy given to: 1)☐ a		2)⊠ applicant's representative	e)	•
Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: diff Yes, brief description:	l)∐ Yes	e) No.		
Claim(s) discussed: <u>1-9</u> .				
Identification of prior art discussed: Yes.				
Agreement with respect to the claims f). was	reached. g)⊠ was not reached. h)□ N	I/A.	
Substance of Interview including description of reached, or any other comments: <u>Further evaluation</u> core-shell graft polymer in the comparative example.	iate unexped	cted results in terms of combin	ning a viscosity n	nodifier and
(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, allowable is available, a summary thereof must	where no co	opy of the amendments that w		
THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAS INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THI INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF requirements on reverse side or on attached sh	reply to the E LONGER OF THIS INT F THE INTE	last Office action has already OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY ERVIEW SUMMARY FORM,	been filed, APP OAYS FROM TWHICHEVER IS	LICANT IS THIS LATER, TO
		Q	- W	
			DAVID W. WU	Po

DAVID W. WU SUPERVISORY FATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

M. Bornsholeys Examiner's signature, if required